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Please attach a narrative (not to exceed 4 pages, excluding appendices) addressing the following:

- What are the student learning outcomes? Please provide a numbered list.
- Which learning outcomes were assessed?
- How were they assessed? (Programs must use at least one direct assessment of student learning.)
- Undergraduate programs should assess at least one University Undergraduate Learning Outcome (UULO) each year, which may or may not overlap with a program learning outcome.
- Graduate programs should assess at least one outcome related to one of the following graduate level requirements each year:
  - student engagement in research, scholarship, creative expression and/or appropriate high-level professional practice.
  - activities requiring originality, critical analysis and expertise.
  - the development of extensive knowledge in the field under study.
- What was learned from the assessment results?
- How did the program respond to what was learned?

Please limit the narrative portion of your report to no more than four pages. You may attach appendices with data, tables, charts, or other materials as needed. Please explain the relevant conclusions from any appendices in your narrative. Please contact the Office of Academic Assessment if you have questions or need assistance.
Opening Comments

The Higher Education program faculty agreed, in general at a meeting held on Wednesday, 18 February 2015, that the format proposed would work based on a rough draft of the Year 1 Assessment Plan. The Year 1 Assessment Plan, as well as plans for Year 2 and Year 3, provided here is more elaborate than the draft reviewed by the faculty. The Higher Education faculty did not formally vet or approve this entire plan. It is, therefore, considered a draft and may be subject to significant modification.

Program Goals. The Master's of Education degree (M.Ed.) in Higher Education prepares graduate students for entry-level leadership positions at public and private universities, community colleges, and proprietary institutions. The program is distinguished by its emphasis on integrating practical and relevant administrative skills with a broad understanding of issues facing higher education and postsecondary institutions, as well as professional research skills. The M.Ed. program allows students to choose a sequence of courses emphasizing student affairs, higher education organization, or intercollegiate athletics.

Learning Objectives

1. Management Communications: Increase self-awareness as it relates to management and interpersonal interactions; Understand theoretical concepts from organizational theory, organizational behavior; Provide administrators context and background for effective management; Understand major concepts of management as they relate to effective interaction with groups, power dynamics, and those who are under the manager's span of control; Apply theoretical and application-based concepts within higher education administration in which you work or hope to work.

2. Leadership Theories: Develop a foundation of knowledge concerning leadership theories and their application to higher education organizations; Employ theoretical leadership frames in a situational analysis; Identify personal leadership orientations and characteristics as well as strategies for personal leadership development; Apply principles from leadership theories toward the development of a solution strategy and/or strategic leadership initiative designed to address an organizational issue or problem.

3. Cultural Diversity: Provide an overview of diversity, including a definition of diversity and diversity management; Provide an overview of major theories used to better understand diversity; Discuss the basic tenants of bias, prejudice, discrimination and privilege and how they inform discussions of diversity in organizations; Design culturally relevant workshops and training programs to facilitate professional development of EDI competencies.
4. **Higher Education History**: Understand and critically analyze the changing historical trends, issues, ideas and events in the development of American higher education; Describe the changes in student access, student life; and the relationship between students and colleges; Identify the types of institutions, their governance structure and financing; understand tenure and academic freedom; changes in the curriculum; Understand the role the Federal Government has played in the development of higher education.

5. **Professional Research Skills**: Students will critically evaluate research studies based on the study (a) methodology, (b) literature support, and (c) significance; Students will serve as scholars who (a) can critique and evaluate reports of research studies and (b) use skills learned through their educational psychology coursework in their chosen career; Students will explain how to implement evidence-based intervention strategies and/or design and modify learning environments to promote more effective learning.

**Curriculum Map**

The curriculum map indicates which required higher education (EDH) core courses and educational psychology (EPY) methods courses (EDH 604, EDH 607, EDH 609, EDH 703, EPY 702, EPY 716, or EPY 718, or EPY 721) contribute to each learning outcome. In addition to the required EPY 702, students select another course from these three options: EPY 716, EPY 718, or EPY 721. Elective courses may contribute to some or all learning outcomes. The culminating experience, the Capstone Project, contributes to all learning outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Learning Outcome 1</th>
<th>Learning Outcome 2</th>
<th>Learning Outcome 3</th>
<th>Learning Outcome 4</th>
<th>Learning Outcome 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDH 604</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDH 607</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDH 609</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDH 703</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPY 702</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPY 716</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPY 718</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPY 721</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elective Courses</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culminating Experience</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The culminating experience for the Master of Education in Higher Education is a master’s capstone [professional paper] project (1 credit) either as a data base or literature review option.

**Data Base Option**

Students who choose to complete a data base option will select a Graduate Faculty member to serve as chair. The student will present and defend this capstone project as a presentation within a public forum. The student’s chair will determine whether to accept or reject adequate completion of the capstone project.
Literature Review Option

Students will select a research topic of interest, approved by their advisor/chair, and conduct a literature review on their proposed topic. Each student's adviser/chair will evaluate the quality and depth of investigation regarding his/her student's literature review.
YEAR 1

Assess learning outcomes 2, 3 and 4 and Culminating Experience (M.Ed Capstone Project)

2. Leadership Theories: Develop a foundation of knowledge concerning leadership theories and their application to higher education organizations; Employ theoretical leadership frames in a situational analysis; Identify personal leadership orientations and characteristics as well as strategies for personal leadership development; Apply principles from leadership theories toward the development of a solution strategy and/or strategic leadership initiative designed to address an organizational issue or problem.

3. Cultural Diversity: Provide an overview of diversity, including a definition of diversity and diversity management; Provide an overview of major theories used to better understand diversity; Discuss the basic tenants of bias, prejudice, discrimination and privilege and how they inform discussions of diversity in organizations; Design culturally relevant workshops and training programs to facilitate professional development of EDI competencies.

4. Higher Education History: Understand and critically analyze the changing historical trends, issues, ideas and events in the development of American higher education; Describe the changes in student access, student life; and the relationship between students and colleges; Identify the types of institutions, their governance structure and financing; understand tenure and academic freedom; changes in the curriculum; Understand the role the Federal Government has played in the development of higher education.

The selection of learning outcomes 2, 3, and 4 were based on ease of data collection. These required courses contribute to these learning outcomes are taught in such a fashion that data collection will be relatively straightforward. Traditionally these courses are offered every fall and most new students start their programs by attending these courses.

Assessment of Learning Outcome 2: Leadership Theories

Article review and presentation addresses the participation in article discussions, citation of leading scholars, and self-assessments. Strategic planning involves the use and application of case studies and the various leadership models to real-life situations. Leader profile enables students to relate leadership theory and read scholarly articles to various academic experiences and current events. Leadership initiative includes participation in leadership initiatives and case studies. The final exam encompasses the understanding of the personal and social implications of understanding leadership and to develop a person leadership philosophy.
Leadership Theories Learning Outcomes:

1. Develop a foundation of knowledge concerning leadership theories and application to higher education units. Learning outcomes:

   Leader profiles include research and interviews with current higher education leaders (e.g. president, provost, athletic director) focusing on the individual’s approach to leadership in higher education based on two or more of the theories and models discussed in class. This may incorporate relevant information from media sources (newspaper, internet, etc.) to support the interview and give depth to the analysis. Information gained from the personal interview regarding the individual’s work history, current position, and involvements, significant professional challenges, along with a discussion of their method / strategy to remedy issues, professional contributions to the field, and his/her perceived leadership style, qualities, and motivation serve to contextualize application of multiple leadership theories.

2. Become familiar with a variety of research methods and designs used in the study of leadership and higher education. Learning outcome:

   Article reviews are a critical reflection of thoughts and analysis relevant to the content and theories presented in a peer-reviewed scholarly article. Written
reviews should demonstrate an understanding of the main arguments and invite critical reflection based upon the content and theory studied. Specific elements for analysis include the study rationale, research questions, theoretical framework, key findings, discussion and conclusions.

1) Employ **theoretical leadership frames** in a situational analysis. **Learning outcome:**

Strategic Planning Task Force (group project) involves selection of a unit in higher education and research regarding a leadership issue the unit is facing. After studying the issue, student teams develop a strategic plan to address the issue and deliver a professional power point presentation evaluated on the following:

1) Overview of the organizations, mission, leadership and structure
2) Goals and Objectives of the organization
3) Identification and evaluation of the Problem(s) they are facing
4) Identification of strategies and resources needed to remedy the issue
5) Implementation Plan (Specific actions and timelines / person accountable)
6) Evaluation of the Plan to determine effectiveness

3. Be aware of various sources of information that inform the study of leadership. These sources of information may be peer-reviewed research journals in various disciplines and professional areas such as sociology, psychology, political science, economics, education, business, sport management and public administration, or non-peer reviewed works such as theoretically oriented books, book chapters, and articles. **Learning outcome**

Through research on a leadership issue in higher education each individual is evaluated individually on their review of a scholarly study and their part in a team presentation of the solution to the leadership issue. Preparation of a critical written review of the research study informs the team power point presentation including:
1) outline the situation creating the issue or challenge, followed by
2) a discussion of the relevant research (incorporate all article reviews) and
3) concluding with leadership actions to remedy the issue. The team members guide a class discussion on the issue, with 3-5 prepared questions.

4. Identify **personal leadership orientations** and characteristics as well as strategies for personal and professional leadership development.

**Learning outcome:**

Students will complete the self-assessments that accompany each chapter, and utilize the results in case study discussions. Individually, each student completed a reflection assignment on their Leadership Strengths from the strengths-finder personal online assessment. A full report, providing their top 5 strengths, and the 4 domains of leadership they fall into were the basis for a reflection paper including
1) overall perception of the accuracy of the assessment, 2) how personal strengths could be beneficial relative to a career interest area in higher education, and 3) how this understanding could help the student work better as part of a team.

The final exam requires development of a personal leadership philosophy, to guide each student in their career in higher education. Application of theories, models and evidence of conceptual understanding serves as the basis for evaluation of the personal philosophy.

5. Apply principles from leadership theories toward the development of a solution strategy and/or **strategic leadership initiative** designed to address an organizational issue or problem.

**Learning outcome:**

Leadership initiative: A team of 3-5 students leads the class in a leadership building activity, followed by a de-briefing that integrates a discussion of the leadership theory orientation assigned. Main components include an outline of the situation creating the issue or challenge, followed by a discussion of the relevant research (incorporating article reviews) and concluding with leadership actions to remedy the issue. Team members guide a class discussion on the issue, with 3-5 prepared questions.

**Assessment of Learning Outcome 3: Cultural Diversity**

**Reflection papers** include these questions: What aspect(s) of the class readings and discussions were significant to you as a professional in higher education? Why? Did the discussions challenge your previously held beliefs? How? The paper requirements consist of a 2-3 page (maximum) reflection of the chapter(s) content, and the articles read and class discussions. Students will turn in reflections the week following the discussion for which the reflection was done. The **self-exploration** assignment includes the taking of the IAT Implicit Bias Tests. Of your IAT results, which biases do you feel are critical for you to address sooner than later? Why? What events or activities do you plan to participate in to increase your KNOWLEDGE regarding your biases? What is an action (SKILL) you can take through a leadership position, organization, project or forum to enhance cultural competence? Developing a diversity training session encompasses the background & rational/objective(s) for topic and session; Interactive session, helped participants think/discuss topic; Group balance in presenting the session; Presentation quality – flow and organization; Evaluation – how will you know you accomplished your goal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Learning Outcomes for Cultural Diversity</strong></th>
<th><strong>Reflection Papers/Review of articles</strong></th>
<th><strong>Self-Exploration Test</strong></th>
<th><strong>Diversity Training Session</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide an overview of diversity, including a definition of diversity and diversity management</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Provide an overview of major theories used to better understand diversity | X | X | X
Discuss the basic tenants of bias, prejudice, discrimination and privilege and how they inform discussions of diversity in organizations | X | X | X
Design culturally relevant workshops and training programs to facilitate professional development of EDI competencies | X | X | X

(3) Cultural Diversity Learning Outcomes:

1. Students enrolled in EDH 609 completed two segments of the IAT Exploration.

Students were asked to Select 6 of the remaining IAT's and complete responses to the following set of questions:
   a. A big part of becoming AWARE of our biases is having the opportunity to address them. Of your IAT results, which biases do you feel are critical for you to address sooner than later? Why?
   b. What events or activities do you plan to participate in to increase your KNOWLEDGE regarding your biases?
   c. What is an action (SKILL) you can take through a leadership position, organization, project or forum to enhance cultural competence?
   d. Colleagues; local community; state; etc.
   e. What do you anticipate to be your challenges? Who or what may assist you in overcoming these challenges?

Via results from this assignment, students demonstrated meeting outcome related to awareness around their bias and prejudice and created an action plan to address these via letters c-e.

2. Students enrolled in EDH 609 completed development of a workshop on a diversity topic.

Students will have the opportunity to work with one other student toward development of a workshop or program that could be conducted at an institution of higher education that focuses on an issue regarding addressing bias via the lens of Pope, Reynolds & Mueller’s Cultural Competency Model (2004). Please note the following Steps to Success:
I. Select one other person to work with, please no more than two / group.
II. Using Pope, Reynolds and Mueller’s (2004) model of multicultural competence – Awareness, Knowledge and Skill, you have the opportunity to integrate information from class discussions and assigned readings to develop an innovative approach to facilitate development around a particular component of diversity.
III. Using Arminio et. al, chapter 3 Historical Context, choose one of the groups presented.
IV. Identify the target audience for your workshop, i.e., faculty, staff, students (graduate or undergraduate)
V. You and your colleague will then develop an 8 hour workshop/program to facilitate
development of cultural competence on that topic.
   a. You can organize the workshop / program any way you want, i.e. it can be two- 4
      hour sessions, or four- 2 hour sessions.
   b. For each session identify outcome objectives for the sessions that center on one
      or more of the three components of Pope, Reynolds and Mueller’s (2004) model.
   c. Your workshop / program can be comprised of readings, film, podcasts, activities,
      etc. that you feel will assist in better understanding the topic.

VI. For each session you plan, Identify what will be done, how long it will take (guess-
timate), and what materials you will need.

VII. You will turn in that plan with reference list for noted additional resources. This is sort
of like a lesson plan of sorts, but ULTIMATELY ought to facilitate you or your colleague in
ACTUALLY doing the workshop / program.

Via completion of this assignment, students’ demonstrated use of the Pope, Reynolds and
Mueller model of cultural competence, designed a workshop on diversity as it pertains to
the competencies as outlined by ACPA and NASPA.

3. Lastly, students enrolled in EDH 609 turned in weekly reflections.

DISCUSSION REFLECTIONS (250 pts) – students will submit a 2 -3 page (maximum)
reflection of the chapter(s) content, articles read and class discussions. Students will turn in
reflections the week following the discussion for which the reflection was done. All
reflections are due at beginning of class and MUST follow course guidelines of APA 6th
edition, double-spaced, 12 pt Roman Times. DO NOT INCLUDE A TITLE PAGE, please.
Students are asked to reflect on the following:
   a. What aspect(s) of the class readings and discussions were significant to you as a
      professional in higher education? Why?
   b. Did the discussions challenge your previously held beliefs? How?
      (Overview and connection with the discussion/readings – 10 pts; How does it
      connect with you professionally – 5 pts; Beliefs challenged 5 pts; Quality (grammar,
      spelling, etc.) & Clarity of writing – 5 pts;
Via completion of this weekly assignment, students demonstrated use of readings /
literature as it pertained to topics of diversity and connected to theory.
Thus, all noted outcomes for EDH 609 were met.

Assessment of Learning Outcome 4: Higher Education History

The connection paper assignment is to apply the course readings to a set of historical topics
and issues in American higher education during the late 19th and early 20th centuries. The
oral history project requires students to interview someone (a senior acquaintance,
colleague, or family member) from a different generation (before 1975) who attended
college. Bring the results of your project to class for an informal presentation and
discussion, as well as a 5-7 page summary of what you learned from the interview. A
comprehensive final examination will be given in order to assess core historical
competencies. Broad themes, pivotal figures, and watershed moments will be covered, and
all content will be thoroughly explicated in class and throughout the assigned readings.
## Learning Outcomes Higher Education History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Understand and critically analyze the changing historical trends, issues, ideas and events in the development of American higher education</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describe the changes in student access, student life; and the relationship between students and colleges</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify the types of institutions, their governance structure and financing;</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understand tenure and academic freedom; changes in the curriculum</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understand the role the Federal Government has played in the development of higher education</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### (4) Higher Education History Learning Outcomes:

This course is a historical overview of American higher education from the Colonial period to the present. The first half of the course concentrated on early colonial colleges and their curricula and the later proliferation of colleges that occurred in the nineteenth century. Attention was given to the movement from “elite” education of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries to “mass” education in the mid-nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. These later time periods resulted in the growth of state universities and the founding of institutions for “special” populations of students. The second half of the course discussed the rise and growth of the research university and its relationship to federal and private funding and the impact this funding has had on higher education and “universal” access in the twentieth century. Throughout the course, attention was given to the history of the undergraduate curriculum for various types of institutions, the changing purposes of higher education, and the growth in hierarchical categorization of higher education as college attendance became more accessible to larger numbers of students.

1. **Debate/Discussion Connection Paper:**

Using the scholarly/academic literature and citations this debate/discussion connection paper guided students to learn and comprehend the historical significance and change.
overtime of American higher education in the terms of its diversity of institutional types, its curricular offerings, and its costs and economic outcomes. Through this assignment, their readings, and robust class debates and discussions students clearly captured an understanding of the various institutional types from the elitist liberal arts colleges (only few could attend), to the development of the teaching or normal schools (state or regional schools), to the creation of the German research universities, and finally to the American innovation of the community colleges. The students also learned that within these institutional types there were numerous curriculum changes (liberal arts or classical, career and practical, and professional, and elective) degrees (e.g., AA, BA, MA, PH.D), governance and financing issues between public and private institutions, student access, tenure and academic freedom, and the role the Federal governments played in the development of higher education that occurred overtime.

2. Oral History Project:

This project allowed students to personally experience history through a historical interview process with a senior acquaintance, colleague, or family member from a different generation who attended college. Once the interview was completed they connected the individual’s experiences with the historical literature. Students also shared these lived connections and experiences with students in the class, and they summarized what they learned from the interview and literature connections. In this experience they could see the changing historical trends, issues, ideas and events in the development of American higher education for a generation they interviewed. They also identified the diversity of institutional types, their governance structure and financing (private or public), changes in curriculum, and understood, when applicable, tenure and academic freedom issue. Most important they experienced the changes in student access, student life; and the relationship between students and colleges, including current social movements.

3. COMPREHENSIVE SEMINAR PAPER/FINAL EXAM:

The final paper/exam allowed students to comprehensively learn and historically develop a topic of their choice. Students selected a single historical topic, an incident, a particular policy, or the career of a historically significant figure or institution, or group. The goal was to thoroughly understand and critically analyze the changing historical trends, issues, ideas and events in the development of American higher education regarding their topic of choice. Similarly and within the context of their topic and if applicable, students were required to describe the changes in the curriculum, student access, student life; and the relationship between students and colleges. They also needed to identify the institutional type, and its governance structure and financing. If applicable, students connected a variety of issues regarding tenure and academic freedom, as well as the changing role the Federal Government played in the development of higher education more generally and with their topic more specifically.

YEAR 2
Add Assessment of Learning Outcome 1

1. Management Communications: Increase self-awareness as it relates to management and interpersonal interactions; Understand theoretical concepts from organizational theory,
organizational behavior; Provide administrators context and background for effective management; Understand major concepts of management as they relate to effective interaction with groups, power dynamics, and those who are under the manager's span of control; Apply theoretical and application-based concepts within higher education administration in which you work or hope to work.

The selection of learning outcome 1 for Year 2 of the Assessment plan was based on the need to address the remaining required courses for the M.Ed. degree, plus the faculty member has resigned. The course is taught every spring and we will need to designate another full or part time faculty member to teach it and develop appropriate learning outcomes and assessments.

YEAR 3
Add Assessment of Learning Outcome 5

5. Professional Research Skills: Students will critically evaluate research studies based on the study (a) methodology, (b) literature support, and (c) significance; Students will serve as scholars who (a) can critique and evaluate reports of research studies and (b) use skills learned through their educational psychology coursework in their chosen career; Students will explain how to implement evidence-based intervention strategies and/or design and modify learning environments to promote more effective learning.

The selection of learning outcome 5 for Year 3 of the Assessment plan was based on the need to address the remaining required courses for the M.Ed. degree: EPY 702, and one selection from EPY 716, EPY 718 or EPY 721. With the exception of EPY 716 most EPY courses are taught in each semester (including summer terms), by multiple instructors, in multiple formats (including both traditional and online learning environments). Full-time faculty who teach EPY courses will be asked to confirm that the learning outcomes are an appropriate representation of the course content and revisions to the learning outcomes may result. These faculty members will also be asked to identify how the course content will be assessed. Full-time faculty will not be required to address the learning outcome elements identically, but they will be asked to consider including at least one common assessment across sections of these courses. Part-time faculty who teach these courses will be required to participate in any common assessments identified for these courses. The M.Ed. program coordinator will facilitate these conversations.

As a part of this review, students will be asked to list the electives that they have taken in the past academic year and, in one or two paragraphs, describe the current state of their specialization keeping in mind the specialty (elective) courses they have taken. In addition, students will be asked to describe how the specialty (elective) courses are helping them to meet their academic and/or professional goals. Furthermore, students will be asked to identify the learning outcomes to which their specialty (elective) courses contribute.